



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,124	03/01/2002	Yorihito Okuda	029239-0104	2677
22428	7590 04/14/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			CULBRETH, ERIC D	
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/085,124	OKUDA ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Eric D Culbreth	3616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) Methey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 2.						
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 3-7.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. $\boxtimes$ The drawing correction filed on <u>10/7/03</u> is a) $\boxtimes$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:		Eric D Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3616				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 10/085,124

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: As noted below, the drawing correction filed on 10/7/03 was approved by the examiner. The new recitations added to claims 6-7 raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration while not reducing issues for appeal. Regarding applicant's remarks that portion 5e does not bend, paragraph 3 of the examiner's final office action notes in line 1 that the hinge portion is actually considered 5b and 5e. Reference numerals 5b and 5e were used to refer to the portion that bends at two places because they were not clearly labeled otherwise in UK '120's drawings. Yet this portion clearly bends in two places when the drawing figures are compared. This area of the connection is "flexible" to allow the door to open (i.e., bends without breaking) and is similar to a hinge as per the given dictionary definition in the final action, and as noted in the final office action, the second hinge portion has to bend before the predetermined pressure that causes the first hinge portion, as the first hinge portion cannot bend until the second hinge portion has bent.